

Cabinet News and Views

Informed analysis for the financial services industry



Financial Services and the Biden Artificial Intelligence Executive Order



By **Mercedes Kelley Tunstall**
Partner | Financial Regulation

On October 30, 2023, the White House [announced that President Biden had issued an Executive Order regarding artificial intelligence \(“AI”\)](#). The Executive Order [was accompanied by a Fact Sheet](#) summarizing the eight policy goals on AI that the White House wanted to emphasize: 1) creating new standards for AI safety and security; 2) bipartisan privacy protections at the Federal level; 3) ensuring AI advances equity and civil rights; 4) ensuring consumers are benefited, and not harmed, by AI; 5) ensuring workers are protected and supported as AI develops; 6) promoting innovation and competition so that AI development can occur at large and small companies; 7) advancing American leadership in AI abroad; and 8) ensuring responsible and effective use of AI by the Federal Government. The White House previously issued an [AI Bill of Rights](#) in February 2023.

The Executive Order directs executive agencies, including the Department of Treasury and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”), to undertake a variety of actions to operationalize aspects of the Executive Order’s broad policy goals. In addition, the Executive Order makes recommendations to both Federal consumer protection agencies, the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”), to take aligned action. Because both the FTC and the CFPB are independent regulatory agencies that are not part of the Executive Branch, the White House is constrained only to making recommendations.

While most of the Executive Order dealt with technology, workforce and social concerns raised by AI developments, there were specific directives regarding financial services. Specifically:

1. The Department of the Treasury was instructed to produce a “public report on best practices for financial institutions to manage AI-specific cybersecurity risks” within 150 days (*i.e.*, by March 2024).

2. The Executive Order instructed HUD (and encouraged the CFPB) to issue guidance within 180 days (*i.e.*, by April 2024) “to combat unlawful discrimination enabled by automated or algorithmic tools used to make decisions about access to housing and in other real estate-related transactions.” Three forms of guidance should be issued. First, there should be guidance addressing the use of tenant screening systems in ways that may violate the Fair Housing Act (“FHA”) and the Fair Credit Reporting Act (“FCRA”). Next, there should be guidance that addresses how the FHA, the Consumer Financial Protection Act (“CFPA”) and the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (“ECOA”) may apply to “the advertising of housing, credit and other real estate-related transactions through digital platforms, including those that use algorithms to facilitate advertising delivery” and guidance that provides best practices for these digital platforms to avoid violations of Federal law related to these topics.
3. A curious practitioner’s point is that the CFPA gave the CFPB “exclusive” authority for interpreting ECOA and the FCRA, as well as the CFPA, and courts were instructed to afford the CFPB deference “with respect to [its] interpretation of any provision of a Federal consumer financial law . . . as if the Bureau were the only agency authorized to apply, enforce, interpret, or administer the provisions of such Federal consumer financial law.” 12 U.S.C. 5512(b)(4)(B). Accordingly, should any guidance be issued only by HUD and not in conjunction with the CFPB, that guidance would not have broad effect.
4. The Federal Trade Commission was “encouraged” to exercise any of its existing authorities “to ensure fair competition in the AI marketplace and to ensure that consumers and workers are protected from harms that may be enabled by the use of AI.”
5. The Executive Order also broadly encouraged independent regulatory agencies to “consider using their full range of authorities to protect American consumers from fraud, discrimination, and threats to privacy” posed by AI technologies.

This summary of directives to the Department of the Treasury and HUD (and encouragements to the CFPB and the FTC) in the Executive Order directly impacts the financial services industry, but there are other aspects of the Executive Order that will necessarily affect financial services, as well. For example, the Executive Order also seeks to address risks posed by synthetic content (*i.e.*, the use of AI to generate deep-fake photographs, voice recordings and video recordings), instructing the Secretary of Commerce to work with other agencies to develop “science-backed standards and techniques for 1) authenticating content and tracking its provenance; 2) labeling synthetic content, such as using watermarking; 3) detecting synthetic content; . . . 4) testing software used for the above purposes; and 5) auditing and maintaining synthetic content.” Ever vigilant regarding phishing and other types of fraudulent attempts that trick customers into accessing their online accounts or even sending funds from their accounts, synthetic content issues are bound to become an increasing point of focus for financial services fraud teams.

Highlighting the risks of synthetic content generally, Vice President Kamala Harris noted in [remarks that she gave at the U.S. Embassy in London regarding the Future of Artificial Intelligence](#) on November 1, “when people around the world cannot discern fact from fiction because of a flood of AI-enabled mis- and disinformation . . . is that not existential for democracy?” In a [Fact Sheet accompanying Vice](#)

[President Harris' speech in London](#), it was announced that the White House had voluntary commitments from 15 leading AI companies to develop mechanisms dealing with synthetic content, but also recognized that all nations must “support the development and implementation of international standards to enable the public to effectively identify and trace authentic” digital content and to distinguish it from “harmful synthetic AI-generated or manipulated” content.
